

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TREVON MACK, individually and on behalf of others
similarly situated,

Case No.: 22-cv-2921

Plaintiffs,

- against -

GAMESTOP, INC.,

**CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT**

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendant.

The Named Plaintiff TREVON MACK (the “Named Plaintiff”), by his attorneys, Leeds Brown Law, P.C., alleges upon knowledge to himself and upon information and belief as to all other matters as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This action is brought pursuant to New York Labor Law (“NYLL”) Article 6 §§ 190 *et seq.*, to recover, damages for delinquent wage payments made to workers who qualify as manual laborers and who were employed by Defendant GAMESTOP, INC. (“Defendant”) between September 2015 and the present in the State of New York.

2. Upon information and belief, since October 2015 and continuing through the present, Defendant compensated all its employees on a bi-weekly basis, regardless of whether said employees qualified as manual laborers under the NYLL.

3. Upon information and belief, since October 2015, Defendant had not been authorized by the New York State Department of Labor Commissioner to compensate its employees who qualified as manual laborers on a bi-weekly basis, in contravention of NYLL

Article 6 § 191, which requires that without explicitly authorization from said Commissioner, such workers must be compensated not less frequently than on a weekly basis.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business in the State of New York, and has dozens of retail establishments where the Named Plaintiff and other employees work, including in this District.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this proposed class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), under the provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, which specifies that the federal courts maintain original jurisdiction in any class action in which at least 100 members are in the proposed plaintiff class, any member of the plaintiff class is a citizen of a state different from any defendant, and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs. The Named Plaintiff submits that all such conditions are satisfied such that this Court has original jurisdiction.

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(1) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue occurred in this District.

THE PARTIES

7. Plaintiff TREVON MACK is an individual who currently resides in the State of New York, and who was employed by Defendant at its Carle Place, New York in a non-exempt, hourly position that typically required he perform duties as a manual laborer for more than 25% of his workday, including working in the stockroom, rearranging packages, and remaining on his feet for the entirety of his shift. Plaintiff was compensated every other week by Defendant throughout the entirety of his employment, which commenced in or around 2016 and ended in or around 2020.

Plaintiff was time and again injured by Defendant's failure to pay him timely wages, inasmuch as Defendant's conduct routinely deprived him on a temporary basis of monies owed to him.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant GAMESTOP, INC. a foreign business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with a headquarters and principal place of business located in Grapevine, Texas.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

9. This action is properly maintainable as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10. This action is brought on behalf of the Named Plaintiff and a class consisting of similarly situated employees who performed work for Defendant non-exempt, hourly positions that required workers perform manual labor for more than 25% of their workdays.

11. The putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The size of the putative class is believed to be in excess of hundreds, if not thousands, of employees. In addition, the names of all potential members of the putative class are not known.

12. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. These questions of law and fact include, but are not limited to:

- a) whether Defendant compensated their employees on a bi-weekly basis;
- b) whether Defendant was lawfully permitted to compensate their employees on a bi-weekly basis; and,
- c) whether Defendant's conduct constitutes a violation of NYLL § 191.

13. The claims of the Named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class. The Named Plaintiff and putative class members were all subject to Defendant's policies and willful practices of failing to compensate employees in compliance with applicable law.

14. The Named Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.

15. The Named Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex wage and hour class action litigation.

16. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The individual Named Plaintiff and putative class members lack the financial resources to adequately prosecute separate lawsuits against Defendant.

17. A class action will also prevent unduly duplicative litigation resulting from inconsistent judgments pertaining to the Defendant's policies.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT:
FAILURE TO PAY TIMELY WAGES**

18. The Named Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

19. The timely payment of wages provisions of NYLL § 191 and its supporting regulations apply to Defendant and protect the Named Plaintiff and members of the putative class.

20. Defendant failed to pay the Named Plaintiff and members of the putative class on a timely basis as required by NYLL § 191(1)(a).

21. By the foregoing reasons, Defendant violated NYLL § 191(1)(a), and as such is liable to the Named Plaintiff and putative class members in an amount to be determined at trial, plus liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, the Named Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, seek the following relief:

- (1) on the first cause of action, against Defendant in an amount to be determined at trial, plus liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to the cited provisions;
- (2) together with such other and further relief the Court may deem appropriate.

Dated: Carle Place, New York
May 18, 2022

LEEDS BROWN LAW, P.C.

/s/
Brett R. Cohen
Jeffrey K. Brown
Michael A. Tompkins
One Old Country Road, Suite 347
Carle Place, New York 11514
Tel: (516) 873-9550

*Attorneys for the Named Plaintiff &
Putative Class*